## Survey Analysis Workshop

## Block 3: Analysing two variables (and sometimes three)

Section 3.2: Three (or more) variables
Sub-section 3.2.1 Elaboration
© Copyright 2019 John F Hall
[New tutorial 20 May 2019: Draft only]

### 3.2.1.7 Earnings differences 2009: Elaboration

Previous session:
3.2.1.6 Earnings differences 2009: Extracting and saving selected variables

Data source: $\quad$ British Social Attitudes Survey, 2009 ${ }^{1} \quad$ (UKDS SN 6695)
Exemplar (iel test4 (Variables selected for our elaboration exercise: created in 3.2.1.6 above )

On USB DRIVE M:
Fig. 1:


Double click on
BSAS 2009
Fig. 2:


Double click on

[^0]Fig．3：

| A test4．sav［DataSet1］－IBM SPSS Statistics Data Editor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | －$\square \times$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eile Edit | View Data | Iransform | Analyze D | Direct Marketing | Graphs U Utilities | Extensions | Window Help |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0$ | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Name | Type | Width | Decimals | Label | Values | Missing | Columns | Align | Measure | Role | le |
| 1 | y ear | Numeric | 4 | 0 | Year of survey | None | None | 10 | 傃 Right | \％Scale | \Input |  |
| 2 | Serial | Numeric | 10 | 0 | Serial Number | None | None | 10 | 三Right | S Scale | \Input |  |
| 3 | rearngrp | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Quartile earnin．．． | \｛1，Q1\}... | 97－99，－1 | 10 | 三Right | －llordinal | \Input |  |
| 4 | REarn | Numeric | 2 | 0 | R＇s own gross．．． | \｛－1，Skip，n．．． | 97－99，－1 | 7 | R Right | －llordinal | \Input |  |
| 5 | REarnQ | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Respondent e．．． | \｛－1，Skip，n．．． | －1，7， 8 | 8 | 雨 Right | －Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 6 | RSex | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Sex of respon．．． | \｛1，Male\} $\ldots$ | None | 5 | \＃Right | \＆Nominal | $\pm$ Input |  |
| 7 | RAge | Numeric | 2 | 0 | What was R＇s．．． | \｛97，97＋\}_. | None | 6 | ERight | \％Scale | $\pm$ Input |  |
| 8 | RAgeCat | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Age of respon．．． | \｛1，18－24\}... | 8 | 9 | 碃 Right | －Ordinal | \ Input |  |
| 9 | RAgeCat2 | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Age of respon．．． | \｛1，18－24\}... | 9 | 10 | 雨 Right | －Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 10 | REmploye | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Is R an emplo．．． | \｛－9，Refusa．．． | －9－－1 | 9 | R Right | Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 11 | EJbHrCal | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Hours R works．．． | \｛－1，Not em．．． | 5－9，－1 | 10 | 플 Right | Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 12 | SJbHrCal | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Hours R works．．． | \｛－1，Not sel．．． | －1，5，9 | 10 | 邫 Right | －Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 13 | RNSEGGrp | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Resp：SEG＜g．．． | \｛－1，Never ．．． | －1，7， 8 | 10 | Right | －Ordinal | \ Input |  |
| 14 | RNSocCl | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Respondent ：．．． | \｛－1，Never ．．． | $-1,7,8$ | 8 | 硣 Right | －Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 15 | Tea | Numeric | 2 | 0 | R how old wh．．． | $\{1,15$ or $u \ldots$ | 6－99 | 5 | ERight | －Ordinal | \Input |  |
| 16 | HEdQual2 | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Highest educ．．． | \｛1，Postgra．．． | 7， 9 | 10 | 险 Right | －ll Ordinal | \ Input |  |
| 17 | GOR2 | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Government 0．．． | \｛1，North E．．． | None | 5 | 硣 Right | \＆Nominal | $\triangle$ Input |  |
| 18 | Country | Numeric | 2 | 0 | Country of int．．． | \｛1，England． | None | 9 | ERight | \＆Nominal | \Input |  |
| 19 | WtFactor | Numeric | 6 | 4 | Final BSA wei．．． | None | None | 12 | 硣 Right | \％Scale | \Input |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＝ |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

Data View Variable View

We have already produced frequency tables for the dependent，independent and test variables （See：3．2．1．6 Earnings differences 2009：Extracting and saving selected variables pp．21－24）
［NB：Cases with no earnings from paid work have already been discarded．］
The dependent variable［REarn］has too many categories：［REarnQ］has only four．
frequencies rearnq．${ }^{2}$
Table 1：
REarnQ Respondent earnings quartiles

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 less than 11999 | 395 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
|  | 2 12000－19999 | 414 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 47.9 |
|  | $320000-31999$ | 467 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 75.5 |
| 4 32000 or more | 413 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  |  | 1689 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

［NB：No £ sign available in SPSS 12］
The value labels of［REarnQ］denote specific earnings intervals．Rather than change the labels from earnings intervals to quartile groupings，it is better to create a new variable［rearngrp］．

To create a new variable［rearngrp］with four approximately equal groups and different value labels：

```
compute rearngrp = rearnq.
variable level rearngrp (ordinal).
variable labels rearngrp 'Quartile earnings group'.
value labels rearngrp 1 'Q1' 2 'Q2' 3 'Q3' 4 'Q4'.
```

[^1]In this session [rearngrp] will be the dependent variable.
frequencies rearngrp.
Table 2:
rearngrp Quartile earnings group

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Q1 | 395 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
|  | 2 Q2 | 414 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 47.9 |
|  | 3 Q3 | 467 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 75.5 |
|  | 4 Q4 | 413 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1689 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

crosstabs rsex by rearngrp /cells count row.
Table 3:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 |  |  | Q2 | Q3 |

Table 3 is our starting point for elaboration ${ }^{3}$, a method which compares percentages of cases falling into (specified) categories of the dependent variable, within categories of independent and test variables. Independent and test variables should preferably be regrouped into two categories (dichotomised) or at most three (trichotomized).

## Elaboration model

$$
\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y} . \mathbf{T} \quad \text { (the effect of } \mathbf{X} \text { on } \mathbf{Y} \text { controlling for } \mathbf{T} \text { ) where: }
$$

$\mathbf{Y}=$ Dependent variable
X = Independent variable
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Test variable(s)
$Y$ (Dependent) $\quad X$ (Independent) $\quad T_{n}$ (Test or control)

| Gross earnings from paid work | Sex | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | Working full time or part time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T2 | Employee or self employed |
|  |  | T3 | Economic sector |
|  |  | T4 | Socio-economic grade of work |
|  |  | T5 | Years of full-time education |
|  |  | T6 | Qualifications |
|  |  | T7 | Age |
|  |  | T8 | Geographical region |

[^2]We are now ready to produce:
a) zero-order (two-way) tables comparing the gross earnings (before tax and National Insurance) of:

1: Men and women
2: Categories within the selected test variables
b) $1^{\text {st }}$ order (three-way) contingency tables to see what happens to differences in gross earnings between men and women when controlling for a third (test) variable

The first example compares the gross earnings (before tax and national Insurance) of men and women controlling for mode of work (full-time or part-time).

There is no single variable denoting part- and full- time work. There are two separate variables, one for employees [EjbHrCal] and another [SJbHrCaI] for the self-employed:
[EjbHrCal] "Hours R works per week, including overtime [employee]."
[SJbHrCal] "Hours R works per week, including overtime [self-employed]."
frequencies ejbhrcai sjbhrcai.
Table 4:
EJbHrCal Hours R works per week, including overtime [employee]. DV:Q1008

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 110-15 hours a week | 82 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
|  | 2 16-23 hours a week | 183 | 5.3 | 11.5 | 16.6 |
|  | 3 24-29 hours a week | 90 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 22.3 |
|  | 430 or more hours a week | 1228 | 35.9 | 77.0 | 99.2 |
|  | 5 Varies too much to say | 7 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 99.7 |
|  | 8 Don't know | 4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 99.9 |
|  | 9 Refusal | 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1595 | 46.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing <br> Total | -1 Not employee | 1826 3421 | 53.4 100.0 |  |  |

For statistical analysis codes 5,8 and 9 should be treated as missing:
Table 5:
SJbHrCal Hours R works per week, including overtime [self-employed]. DV:Q1010

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Falid | 1 10-15 hours a week | 16 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
|  | $216-23$ hours a week | 38 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 20.8 |
|  | 3 24-29 hours a week | 16 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 26.9 |
|  | 4 30 or more hours a week | 183 | 5.3 | 70.4 | 97.3 |
|  | 5 Varies too much to say | 5 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 99.2 |
|  | 9 Refusal | 2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 260 | 7.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | -1 Not self-employed | 3161 | 92.4 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

For statistical analysis codes 5 and 9 should be treated as missing:
missing values ejbhrcai (-1 5 thru 9) sjbhrcai (-159).
frequencies ejbhrcai sjbhrcai.
Table 6:
EJbHrCal Hours R works per week, including overtime [employee].

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 10-15 hours a week | 76 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
|  | 2 16-23 hours a week | 163 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 16.4 |
|  | 3 24-29 hours a week | 84 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 22.1 |
|  | 430 or more hours a week | 1137 | 67.3 | 77.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1460 | 86.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | -1 Not employee | 222 | 13.1 |  |  |
|  | 5 Varies too much to say | 5 | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | 8 Don't know | 2 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 229 | 13.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1689 | 100.0 |  |  |

Table 7:

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 10-15 hours a week | 13 | 0.8 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
|  | 2 16-23 hours a week | 36 | 2.1 | 16.5 | 22.5 |
|  | 3 24-29 hours a week | 14 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 28.9 |
|  | 430 or more hours a week | 155 | 9.2 | 71.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 218 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | -1 Not self-employed | 1467 | 86.9 |  |  |
|  | 5 Varies too much to say | 3 | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | 9 Refusal | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |
|  | Total | 1471 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1689 | 100.0 |  |  |

Variables [EjbHrCal] and [SJbHrCal] are mutually exclusive, but a new variable [workhours] combining information from both variables can be generated with:
compute workhours = max (ejbhrcai, sjbhrcai).
value labels workhours

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 " 10-15 \text { hours a week " } 2 " 16-23 \text { hours a week " } \\
& 3 " 24-29 \text { hours a week " } 4 " 30 \text { or more hours a week ". }
\end{aligned}
$$

frequencies workhours.
Table 6:

| workhours |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| Valid | 1 10-15 hours a week | 89 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |
|  | 2 16-23 hours a week | 199 | 11.8 | 11.9 | 17.2 |  |
|  | 3 24-29 hours a week | 98 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 23.0 |  |
|  | 430 or more hours a week | 1292 | 76.5 | 77.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | Total | 1678 | 99.3 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Missing | System ${ }^{4}$ | 11 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 1689 | 100.0 |  |  |  |

[^3]For elaboration purposes we need only two categories "Full-time" and "Part-time":
recode workhours (23=1)( $4=2$ )(else = copy) into workmode.
variable labels workmode 'R works full- or part- time'.
value labels workmode 1 'Part-time' 2 'Full-time' .
frequencies workmode.

## Table 7:

workmode ( R works full- or part- time)

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Part-time | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 22.9 |
|  | 2 Full-time | 1292 | 76.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Total | 1678 | 99.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missing | System | 11 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1689 | 100.0 |  |  |

All the variables we need have now been extracted, generated and checked. Save the file as test5.sav on USB Drive M:

```
save outfile = 'M:\BSAS 2009\test5.sav'
    /keep year serial
        rearn rearnq rearngrp
        rsex rage ragecat ragecat2
        remploye workhours workmode
        ejbhrcai sjbhrcai rnseggrp rnsoccl
        tea hedqual2
        gor2 country
        wtfactor.
```

File 通 test5 is saved to folder $\|$ BSAS 2009 on USB Drive M:


## Elaboration

In this and following sessions the dependent variable will be [rearngrp]

| Status | Name | Label |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{Y}=$ Dependent | rearngrp | [Gross annual earnings: 4 groups based on quartiles] |
| $\mathbf{X}=$ Independent | rsex | [Men, Women] |
| $\mathbf{T}=$ Test | workmode | [Working full-time or part-time] |

Our first test variable is [workmode] "R works full -or part-time?".

| Frequencies | $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{T}$ | frequencies rearngrp rsex workmode . |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zero order tables ${ }^{5}$ | $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ <br> $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ | crosstabs rsex workmode by rearngrp . |

```
1 st order table }\mp@subsup{}{}{6}\quad\mathbf{X G Y.T crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode .
```


## Cluttered output

SPSS output can get quite cluttered if you display both names and labels or use too many options for cell contents.

For the following tables, SPSS has been set to display variable values as Labels only.

Edit >> Options >> Output
Variable values in item labels shown as:
Labels

[^4]Initial frequency counts
frequencies rearngrp, rsex, workmode.
Table 8:
rearngrp Quartile earnings group

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Q1 | 395 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 |
|  | Q2 | 414 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 47.9 |
|  | Q3 | 467 | 27.6 | 27.6 | 75.5 |
|  | Q4 | 413 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1689 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Table 9:
RSex Sex of respondent

|  |  |  |  |  | Crequency |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| ---: | :--- |
| Valid | Male |
|  | Female |

Table 10:
workmode $\mathbf{R}$ works full- or part- time

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Part-time | 386 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
|  | Full-time | 1292 | 76.5 | 77.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1678 | 99.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 11 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 1689 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Zero-order tables

## 1: Effect of sex on earnings

crosstabs rsex by rearngrp .
Table 11:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation
Count

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |  |
| RSex Sex of | Male | 102 | 186 | 247 | 296 | 831 |
| respondent | Female | 293 | 228 | 220 | 117 | 858 |
| Total |  | 395 | 414 | 467 | 413 | 1689 |

Because there are almost equal numbers of men and women it's clear that the gradients for quartile earnings run in opposite directions.

It's easier to compare percentages than cell counts:
crosstabs rex by rearngrp /cells count row.
Table 12:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
| RSex Sex of respondent | Male | Count | $\begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 123 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 186 \\ 224 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 247 \\ 297 \% \end{array}$ | 296 $35.6 \%$ |  |
|  | Female | Count | 293 | 228 | 220 | 117 | 858 |
|  |  | \% within RSex | 34.1\% | 26.6\% | 25.6\% | 13.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 395 | 414 | 467 | 413 | 1689 |
|  |  | \% within RSex | 23.4\% | 24.5\% | 27.6\% | 24.5\% | 100.0\% |

It's now easier to compare men and women, but the table is a bit cluttered.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp /cells row.
Table 13:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation
\% within RSex Sex of respondent

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |  |
| RSex Sex of | Male | $12.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| respondent | Female | $34.1 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total |  | $23.4 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

It's now even easier to compare men and women, but we've now lost the base $\mathbf{n}$ for percentages.

## 2: Effect of workmode on earnings

crosstabs workmode by rearngrp .
Table 14:
workmode R works full- or part- time * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation Count

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | 18 |

Even with raw counts, the gradients for quartile earnings seem to run in opposite directions.
crosstabs workmode by rearngrp /cells count row.
Table 15:
workmode R works full- or part- time * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |
| workmode R works | Part-time | Count | 241 | 86 | 41 | 18 | 386 |
| full- or part- time |  | \% within workmode | $62.4 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | Full-time | Count | 150 | 328 | 423 | 391 | 1292 |
|  |  | \% within workmode | $11.6 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Total | Count | 391 | 414 | 464 | 409 | 1678 |  |
|  |  | \% within workmode | $23.3 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

You can compare the percentages, but the table is a bit cluttered.
crosstabs workmode by rearngrp/cells row.
Table 16:
workmode R works full- or part- time * rearngrp Quartile earnings group Crosstabulation
$\%$ within workmode R works full- or part- time

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |

It's easier to compare people working full-time or part-time, but there is now no base $\mathbf{n}$ for percentages.

## 1st order tables

## 1: Effect of sex on earnings, controlling for workmode

crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode.
Table17:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group * workmode R works full- or part- time Crosstabulation
Count

|  |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
| workmode R works full- or part- time <br> Part-time $\quad$ RSex Sex of respondent Male |  | Male | 44 | 23 | 9 | 13 | 89 |
| Part-time |  | Female | 197 | 63 | 32 | 5 | 297 |
|  | Total |  | 241 | 86 | 41 | 18 | 386 |
| Full-time | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 56 | 163 | 237 | 279 | 735 |
|  |  | Female | 94 | 165 | 186 | 112 | 557 |
|  | Total |  | 150 | 328 | 423 | 391 | 1292 |
| Total | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 100 | 186 | 246 | 292 | 824 |
|  |  | Female | 291 | 228 | 218 | 117 | 854 |
|  | Total |  | 391 | 414 | 464 | 409 | 1678 |

Useful for checking the structure of the sample, but difficult to interpret.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode /cells count row.
Table18:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group * workmode R works full- or parttime Crosstabulation


CROSSTABS output displays both cell counts and row \%, so the table is now completely cluttered, unreadable and unusable: you certainly couldn't publish it like this.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode /cells row.
Table19:
RSex Sex of respondent * rearngrp Quartile earnings group * workmode R works full- or part- time Crosstabulation
\% within RSex Sex of respondent

| workmode R works full- or part- time |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
| Part-time | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 49.4\% | 25.8\% | 10.1\% | 14.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Female | 66.3\% | 21.2\% | 10.8\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total |  | 62.4\% | 22.3\% | 10.6\% | 4.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Full-time | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 7.6\% | 22.2\% | 32.2\% | 38.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Female | 16.9\% | 29.6\% | 33.4\% | 20.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total |  | 11.6\% | 25.4\% | 32.7\% | 30.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.1\% | 22.6\% | 29.9\% | 35.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Female | 34.1\% | 26.7\% | 25.5\% | 13.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Total |  | 23.3\% | 24.7\% | 27.7\% | 24.4\% | 100.0\% |

This table is easier to interpret, but is still overly cluttered. It could be manually edited to get rid of the $\%$ signs in the body of the table and substitute base n for $100 \%$ in the column headings, but that would take a long time and is possibly error-prone.

## Custom Tables

To obtain tables that are less cluttered, and thus much easier to interpret, SPSS has a facility for producing Custom Tables.

CTABLES gives full control of output, but the syntax looks very complicated to the uninitiated (ie me!).
The default output can still be a bit cluttered, but it can be modified within the program. The default output can be very sparse for tabulating a single variable, but at least the frequency distribution doesn't display totally unnecessary cumulative percentages for nominal variables.

Within the CTABLES command, tables must be specified one at a time, e.g:

## CTABLES

/TABLE <variable>

## 1: Initial frequency counts

ctables /table rearngrp
/table rsex
/table workmode.
Table20:

|  |  | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| rearngrp Quartile | Q1 | 395 |
| earnings group | Q2 | 414 |
|  | Q3 | 467 |
|  | Q4 | 413 |

Table21:

|  |  | Count |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| RSex Sex of | Male | 831 |
| respondent | Female | 858 |

Table22:

|  |  | Count |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| workmode (R works full- Part-time <br> or part- time) Full-time | 1296 |  |

## Zero-order tables

## $X \rightarrow Y \quad$ Effect of sex on earnings

ctables /table rsex by rearngrp
/table workmode by rearngrp.
Table23:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|  | Count | Count | Count | Count |  |
| RSex Sex of | Male | 102 | 186 | 247 | 296 |
| respondent | Female | 293 | 228 | 220 | 117 |

$\mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y} \quad$ Effect of workmode on earnings
Table24:

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|  | Count | Count | Count | Count |  |
| workmode (R works full- | Part-time | 241 | 86 | 41 | 18 |
| or part- time) | Full-time | 150 | 328 | 423 | 391 |

[Note there are no column totals in the above tables.]
To compare groups we need row percentages, not counts, and the percentages need to be based on the row totals.

In CTABLES these are specified by: [ROWPCT.COUNT].
ctables /table sex by rearngrp [rowpct.count]
/table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count ].
Table25:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
|  | Row N \% | Row N \% | Row N \% | Row N \% |  |
| RSex Sex of | Male | $12.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ |
| respondent | Female | $34.1 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |

Table26:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
|  | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ |  |
| workmode (R works full- | Part-time | $62.4 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| or part- time) | Full-time | $11.6 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ |

Unlike the CROSSTABS command, CTABLES allows you to display the row totals in the same table: you can request TOTALS [COUNT] as an additional element inside the square brackets:
ctables /table sex by rearngrp3 [rowpct.count totals [count]]
However, to display the actual totals you need an additional line for each/TABLE specification:

> /categories variables = rearngrp3 total=yes.
ctables /table rsex by rearngrp [rowpct.count totals [count]] /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes
/table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count totals [count]] /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes.

Table27:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |  |
|  | Row N \% | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row N \% | Count |  |
| RSex Sex of | Male | $12.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | 831 |
| respondent | Female | $34.1 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | 858 |

Table28:

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |  |
|  | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Row $\mathrm{N} \%$ | Count |  |
| workmode (R works full- | Part-time | $62.4 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | 386 |
| or part- time) | Full-time | $11.6 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ | 1292 |

These tables are now much easier to read and interpret, but they are still slightly cluttered. They can be further improved by changing the column headers from Row $\mathbf{N} \%$ to a simple \% and Count to ( $n=100 \%$ ) and then by getting rid of all the \% signs in the body of the table.

To do this, the elements in the /TABLES specification need to be extended by adding labels in double primes eg: [ROWPCT.COUNT "\%"] and [COUNT "n = 100\%"]

The default formats are integer for cell counts and one decimal place for percentages, but if needed the latter can be changed by adding a format eg:

## [ROWPCT.COUNT f4.2 ]

However, two decimal places for percentages seems a bit pointless for these data. We're trying to reduce the clutter, not add to it!

## ctables

/table rsex by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count " $n=100 \%$ "]] /categories variables= rearngrp total=yes
/table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count " $n=100 \%$ "]]
/categories variables= rearngrp total=yes.
Table29:

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \hline \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q3 } \\ & \hline \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q4 } \\ & \hline \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \hline \mathrm{n}=100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
|  | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
|  | Epsilon ${ }^{7}$ | -21.9 | -4.2 | +4.1 | +22.0 |  |

I wonder if CTABLES can be modified to produce tables with epsilons?

[^5]Table30:

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Q2 } \\ & \hline \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Q3 } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q4 } \\ & \hline \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{n}=100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| workmode (R works full- or part- time) | Part-time | 62.4 | 22.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 386 |
|  | Full-time | 11.6 | 25.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 1292 |
|  | Epsilon | 50.8 | -3.1 | -22.1 | -25.6 |  |

The above tables do not have column totals for the income groups as it's easier to compare the income groups of men/women and full-time/part-time workers without them (and to calculate the percentage point differences, epsilons).

For elaboration purposes you need to compare these conditional distributions with the global distribution to see how it has been partitioned when controlling for test variables. More test variables can be added at any stage.

Both variables can be included in the same table if $X$ and $T_{1}$ are linked with $a+$ sign.

## ctables

/table rsex [c] + workmode [c] by rearngrp [c]
[rowpct.count f8.1 "\%" totals[validn f8.0 "n= 100\%"]]
/categories variables= sex workmode rearngrp total=yes position=after.
Table31:

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |  |
| RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
|  | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| workmode (R works full-- | Part-time | 62.4 | 22.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 386 |
| or part- time) | Full-time | 11.6 | 25.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 1292 |
|  | Total | 23.3 | 24.7 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 1678 |

## First order nested tables

1: $X \rightarrow Y . T_{1}$
2: $X \rightarrow Y . T_{2}$
To produce three-way contingency tables in CTABLES, the specification of variables is slightly different. One pair of variables has to linked by $>$ (variable on the right of $>$ is nested in categories of the variable on the left).

There are three ways of producing such tables:
$\mathbf{X}>\mathbf{T}$ by $\mathbf{Y}$
$\mathbf{T}>\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathbf{Y}$
$\mathbf{X}>\mathbf{Y}$ by $\mathbf{T}$

## 1: $\mathbf{X}>\mathrm{T}_{1}$ by Y

*Nest workmode within sex.

## ctables

/table rsex > workmode by rearngrp
[rowpct.count f8.1 "\%" totals[validn f8.0 "n= 100\%"]]
/categories variables= sex workmode rearngrp total=yes position=after.

Table32:

|  |  |  |  |  | rngrp | artile e | ings gra |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  |  |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| RSex Sex of | Male | workmode | Part-time | 49.4 | 25.8 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 89 |
| respondent |  |  | Full-time | 7.6 | 22.2 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 735 |
|  |  |  | Total | 12.1 | 22.6 | 29.9 | 35.4 | 824 |
|  | Female | workmode | Part-time | 66.3 | 21.2 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 297 |
|  |  |  | Full-time | 16.9 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 20.1 | 557 |
|  |  |  | Total | 34.1 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 13.7 | 854 |

## 2: $\mathrm{T}_{1}>\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathbf{Y}$

*Nest sex within workmode.

## ctables

/table workmode > rsex by rearngrp
[rowpct.count f8.1 "\%" totals[validn f8.0 "n= 100\%"]]
/categories variables= rsex workmode rearngrp total=yes position=after.
Table33:

|  |  |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Q1 } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q2 } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q3 } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Q4 } \\ \hline \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \hline \mathrm{n}=100 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| workmode (R works full- or part- time) | Parttime | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 49.4 | 25.8 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 89 |
|  |  |  | Female | 66.3 | 21.2 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 297 |
|  |  |  | Total | 62.4 | 22.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 386 |
|  | Fulltime | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 7.6 | 22.2 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 735 |
|  |  |  | Female | 16.9 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 20.1 | 557 |
|  |  |  | Total | 11.6 | 25.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 1292 |
|  | Total | RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.1 | 22.6 | 29.9 | 35.4 | 824 |
|  |  |  | Female | 34.1 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 13.7 | 854 |
|  |  |  | Total | 23.3 | 24.7 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 1678 |

## 3: $\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathbf{T}_{1}>\mathbf{Y}$

## ctables

/vlabels variables=rsex rearngrp workmode display=none
/table rsex by workmode > rearngrp
[rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count " $n=100 \%$ "]]
/categories variables= rsex workmode rearngrp total=yes position=after.
Table34:

|  | Part-time |  |  |  |  | Full-time |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $n=100 \%$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| Male | 49.4 | 25.8 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 89 | 7.6 | 22.2 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 735 | 12.1 | 22.6 | 29.9 | 35.4 | 824 |
| Female | 66.3 | 21.2 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 297 | 16.9 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 20.1 | 557 | 34.1 | 26.7 | 25.5 | 13.7 | 854 |
| Total | 62.4 | 22.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 386 | 11.6 | 25.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 1292 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 27.7 | 24.4 | 1678 |

Perhaps not!

To get separate tables for workmode:

## temporary.

select if workmode $=1$.
ctables
/vlabels variables=rsex rearngrp display=none
/table rsex by rearngrp
[rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count "n= 100\%"]]
/categories variables= rsex rearngrp total=yes position=after.
Table35: Part time workers

|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | \% | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| Male | 49.4 | 25.8 | 10.1 | 14.6 | 89 |
| Female | 66.3 | 21.2 | 10.8 | 1.7 | 297 |
| Total | 62.4 | 22.3 | 10.6 | 4.7 | 386 |

temporary.
select if workmode $=2$.
ctables
/vlabels variables=rsex rearngrp display=none
/table rsex by rearngrp
[rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count "n= 100\%"]]
/categories variables= rsex rearngrp total=yes position=after.
Table36: Full time workers

|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | \% | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| Male | 7.6 | 22.2 | 32.2 | 38.0 | 735 |
| Female | 16.9 | 29.6 | 33.4 | 20.1 | 557 |
| Total | 11.6 | 25.4 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 1292 |

We should now discard the part-time workers and restrict future analysis to those working full time.
select if workmode $=2$.
save outfile = 'M:\BSAS 20091test6.sav' .

| 11. UKDA-6695-spss | 24/07/2018 00:50 | File Folder |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\%) 6695spss_fa3ff1f37a5f7dd3c4ff6d62b3923ac4 | 29/04/2019 20:42 | ZIP File | 4,229 KB |
| (1ilin test1 | 12/05/2019 11:14 | SPSS Statis... | 3,686 KB |
| (17) test2 | 12/05/2019 21:22 | SPSS Statis... | 63 KB |
| (1ient test3 | 12/05/2019 21:22 | SPSS Statis... | 65 KB |
| (1ilin test4 | 16/05/2019 08:54 | SPSS Statis... | 65 KB |
| (19ipl test5 | 17/05/2019 18:29 | SPSS Statis... | 68 KB |
| (1i] test6 | 20/05/2019 05:25 | SPSS Statis... | 54 KB |

[^6]Appendix 1: SPSS syntax for 3.2.1.7

* Encoding: UTF-8.
frequencies rearnq.
compute rearngrp = rearnq.
variable level rearngrp (ordinal).
variable labels rearngrp 'Quartile earnings group'.
value labels rearngrp 1 'Q1' 2 'Q2' 3 'Q3' 4 'Q4'.
frequencies rearngrp.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp/cells count row.
frequencies EJbHrCal SJbHrCal .
missing values EJbHrCal (-1 5 thru 9) SJbHrCal (-159).
frequencies EJbHrCal SJbHrCal.
compute workhours $=\max (\mathrm{EJbHrCaI}, \mathrm{SJbHrCaI})$. missing values workhours (5 8 9).
value labels workhours
1 " 10-15 hours a week " 2 " 16-23 hours a week " 3" 24-29 hours a week " 4" 30 or more hours a week "
5 " Varies too much to say" 8 " Don't know" 9 " Refusal".
frequencies workhours.
recode workhours $(23=1)(4=2)$ (else = copy) into workmode. variable labels workmode (R works full- or part- time).
value labels workmode
1 'Part-time' 2 'Full-time' 5 "Varies too much to say" 8 "Don't know" 9 "Refusal".
missing values workmode ( $-1,5$ thru 9 ).
frequencies workmode.
save outfile = 'M:\BSAS 2009\test5.sav'
/keep year serial
rearn rearnq rearngrp
rsex rage ragecat ragecat2
remploye workhours workmode
ejbhrcai sjbhrcai rnseggrp rnsoccl
tea hedqual2
gor2 country
wtfactor.
frequencies rearngrp, rsex, workmode .
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp .
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp/cells count row.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp /cells row.
crosstabs workmode by rearngrp .
crosstabs workmode by rearngrp/cells count row.
crosstabs workmode by rearngrp/cells row.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode /cells count row.
crosstabs rsex by rearngrp by workmode /cells row.
ctables /table rearngrp
/table rsex
/table workmode.

```
ctables /table rsex by rearngrp
        /table workmode by rearngrp.
ctables /table sex by rearngrp [rowpct.count]
            /table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count ].
ctables /table sex by rearngrp3 [rowpct.count totals [count]].
ctables /table rsex by rearngrp [rowpct.count totals [count]]
                /categories variables= rearngrp total=yes
        /table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count totals [count]]
        /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes.
ctables
    /table rsex by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
        /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes
    /table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
        /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes.
ctables
    /table rsex by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
        /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes
    /table workmode by rearngrp [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
        /categories variables = rearngrp total=yes .
ctables
            /table rsex > workmode by rearngrp
            [rowpct.count f8.1 "%" totals[validn f8.0 "n= 100%"]]
            /categories variables = sex workmode rearngrp
            total=yes position=after.
ctables
    /table workmode > rsex by rearngrp
    [rowpct.count f8.1 "%" totals[validn f8.0 "n= 100%"]]
    /categories variables= rsex workmode rearngrp
    total=yes position=after.
ctables
    /table variables =rsex rearngrp workmode display=none
    /table rsex by workmode > rearngrp
    [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
    /categories variables = rsex workmode rearngrp total=yes position=after.
temporary.
select if workmode = 1.
ctables
    /categories variables=rsex rearngrp display=none
    /categories rsex by rearngrp
    [rowpct.count f5.1 "%" totals [count "n= 100%"]]
    /categories variables = rsex rearngrp total=yes position=after.
```


## temporary.

```
select if workmode \(=2\).
ctables
/categories variables =rsex rearngrp display=none
/table rsex by rearngrp
[rowpct.count f5.1 "\%" totals [count "n=100\%"]]
/categories variables= rsex rearngrp total=yes position=after.
select if workmode \(=2\).
save outfile = 'M:\BSAS 2009\test6.sav' .
```


## Appendix 2: Epsilons via Excel

Table as in viewer:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
|  | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |

Right click the table

## Custom Tables

[DataSet1] M: \BSAS 2009\test5.sav


Double click on the table to
Click on Copy
Open a new Excel sheet:


With the cursor in cell A1 press Ctrl + Vor Right click > Paste

| 4 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| 3 |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\mathrm{n}=100 \%$ |
| 4 | RSex Sex of | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| 5 | respondent | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Write "Epsilon" in B7:

| B7 |  | - | $\times \checkmark$ | Epsilon |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| 1 |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| 3 |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | n= 100\% |
| 4 | RSex Sex of | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| 5 |  | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Epsilon |  |  |  |  |  |

Highlight cell C7

| A | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| 3 |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | n= 100\% |
| 4 | RSex Sex of | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| 5 | respondent |  |  |  | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Epsilon |  |  |  |  |  |

Right click >> Format cells
Click on Number choose Negative numbers 1234.0 change Decimal places from 2 to 1

## Format Cells



Click ok
In cell C7 write $=\mathbf{c 4 - c 5}$

| A | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| 3 |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | n= 100\% |
| 4 | RSex Sex of | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| 5 | respond | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Epsilon | =c4-c5 |  |  |  |  |

## Enter

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| $n=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 |  |
| RSex Sex | Male | 831 |  |  |  |  |
| of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| respondent | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 |  |

Epsilon -21.9

Highlight cell C7
Ctrl C or Right click >> Copy

Highlight cells D7 to F7

| 1 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
| 3 |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | n= 100\% |
| 4 | RSex Sex of | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| 5 | responde | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | Epsilon | -21.9 |  |  |  |  |

Ctrl V or Right click > Paste

|  |  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $n=100 \%$ |
| RSex Sex of respondent | Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
|  | Female | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| Epsilon |  | -21.9 | -4.2 | 4.1 | 22.0 |  |

You can change the font, size and colour to taste:

## AutoSave off 回 ワ・

File Home Insert Page La

## Click Home



Click Font and change size to 10 .

| Arial | - 7 |  | $A^{\wedge} A^{\sim}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B $I \underline{U}=\square \rightarrow \Delta \cdot \underline{\square}$ |  |  |  |
| Font $\Gamma_{2}$ |  |  |  |


|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} n= \\ 100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| RSex Sex Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { of } \\ \text { respondent } & \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| Epsilon | -21.9 | -4.2 | 4.1 | 22.0 |  |

Excel doesn't seem to have a facility for leading + signs, but you can change the table in Word

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} n= \\ 100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| RSex Sex Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { of } \\ \text { respondent } \end{array} & \text { Female } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| Epsilon | -21.9 | -4.2 | +4.1 | +22.0 |  |

Bolden the epsilons:

|  | rearngrp Quartile earnings group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Total |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | $\begin{gathered} n= \\ 100 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| RSex Sex Male | 12.3 | 22.4 | 29.7 | 35.6 | 831 |
| of respondent | 34.1 | 26.6 | 25.6 | 13.6 | 858 |
| Epsilon | -21.9 | -4.2 | +4.1 | +22.0 |  |

Change the colour of the positive epsilons:



[^0]:    1 National Centre for Social Research. (2011). British Social Attitudes Survey, 2009. [data collection]. UK Data Service. SN: 6695, http://doi.org/10.5255/UKDA-SN-6695-1

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix 1 for a full listing of all the syntax in this tutorial：lower case is used throughout as it＇s quicker and easier．

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For an explanation of the logic involved, see Jim Ring's Statistics notes to accompany course. (pp31-32) See also Rosenberg M, The Logic of Survey Analysis, Basic Books 1968

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ When generating new numeric variables, SPSS by default assigns zero decimal places. Whenever missing values were found for [EjbHrCal] (-1,5 thru 9) "Not employee" and [SJbHrCal] (-159) "Not self-employed" they have been automatically assigned the value sysmis in [workhours]

[^4]:    5 SPSS command CROSSTABS produces very cluttered output: CTABLES is more complex to use, but the output is far less cluttered. (See: 3.2.1.4 Elaboration 4 (Income differences 2009-2014 CTABLES)

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ The epsilons (percentage point difference between men and women in each column) were produced separately by copying the body of the table into Excel, subtracting the female percentage from the male percentage, then copying an extract from Excel back into Word] See Appendix 2 for a worked example.

[^6]:    End of session: 3.2.1.7: Earnings differences 2009: Elaboration
    Back to:
    Back to:
    3.2.1.6 Earnings differences 2009: Extracting and saving variables
    3.2: Three (or more) variables

